



Global Harmonization Working Party
Towards Medical Device Harmonization

PROPOSED DOCUMENT

Title: Guidance for Additional Considerations to support Conformity Assessment of In vitro Companion Diagnostic Medical Devices

Authoring Group: Work Group 2, Pre-market: IVD

Date: 21 Sept, 2023

Dr. Wen-Wei Tsai
Chair, Work Group 2

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Acknowledgements

This Guidance document was prepared by Work Group 2 of GHWP. We especially wish to acknowledge the contributions of project team members: Mr. Shang-Ching LIN, Dr. Sora LEE, and Ms. Yon Ju Kang.

18	Contents	
19	1.0	Introduction.....5
20	2.0	Rationale, Purpose and Scope.....6
21	2.1	Rationale.....6
22	2.2	Purpose.....6
23	2.3	Scope.....6
24	3.0	Categories of IVD-CDx development.....7
25	3.1	Novel IVD-CDx.....7
26	3.2	Follow-on IVD-CDx.....7
27	3.3	Changes and additions of the purpose of use on IVD-CDx.....7
28	4.0	References.....7
29	5.0	Definitions.....8
30	6.0	Conformity Assessment of IVD-CDx.....10
31	7.0	Considerations to Essential Principles of Safety and Performance.....10
32	7.1	General Considerations.....11
33	7.2	Specific considerations according to IVD-CDx Categories.....12
34	7.2.1.	For Novel IVD-CDx.....12
35	7.2.2.	For Follow-on product equivalent to the first approved IVD-CDx.....13
36	7.2.3.	Addition of new specimen types or new drugs to the intended use.....14
37	7.3	Detailed of the Performance Test.....16
38	7.3.1.	IVD-CDx in a pharmaceutical trial.....16
39	7.3.2.	A test on the evaluation of equivalence of IVD-CDx.....17
40	7.3.3.	Considerations for analytic performance evaluation.....17
41	7.3.4.	Considerations for evaluating clinical performance.....18
42	8.0	Labelling of IVD-CDx.....18
43	9.0	Requirements of Submission Dossier of IVD-CDx.....18
44	10.0	Assessment of IVD-CDx Submission Dossier.....19
45	10.1	IVD-CDx will be assessed in three different categories for regulatory approval...19
46	10.2	Novel IVD-CDx.....19
47	10.3	Follow-on IVD-CDx.....19
48	10.4	Addition of new specimen types or new drugs to the intended use.....20
49		
50		

51 **Preface**

52 This document was produced by the Global Harmonization Working Party. The GHWP
53 would like to acknowledge and has considered the documents, “In Vitro Companion Diagnostic
54 Devices” developed by US FDA and “Guideline for Approval and Evaluation of In Vitro
55 Companion Diagnostic Devices” developed by the MFDS, Republic of Korea.

56 This document is intended to provide non-binding guidance for use in the regulation of
57 companion IVD medical devices, and has been subject to consultation throughout its
58 development.

59 There are no restrictions on the reproduction, distribution, translation or use of this
60 document. However, incorporation of this document, in part or in whole, into any other
61 document does not convey or represent an endorsement of any kind by the Global
62 Harmonization Working Party.

63

64 **1.0 Introduction**

65 This document has been developed to encourage and support convergence of regulatory
66 systems in the GHWP member economies. It is intended for use by Regulatory Authorities
67 (RAs), Conformity Assessment Bodies (CABs) and industry, and will provide benefits in
68 establishing, in a consistent way, an economic and effective approach to the control of IVD
69 medical devices in the interest of public health. It seeks to strike a balance between the
70 responsibilities of RAs to safeguard the health of their citizens and their obligations to avoid
71 placing unnecessary burdens upon the industry.

72 This guidance document is intended to guide staff of RAs and CABs who are assessing
73 In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Medical Devices (IVD-CDx) for possible premarket regulatory
74 pathways and assist manufacturers of the IVD-CDx to develop and demonstrate relevant
75 performance characteristics for their products. Work Group 2 of the GHWP has prepared this
76 guidance document. Comments or questions should be directed to the Chair of GHWP Work
77 Group 2 whose contact details may be found on the GHWP web page.

78 This guidance should be read in conjunction with the GHWP documents on Essential
79 Principles of Safety and Performance, Conformity Assessment, Labelling and Submission
80 Dossier on IVD medical devices. It provides additional considerations specifically for IVD-
81 CDx.

82

83 **2.0 Rationale, Purpose and Scope**

84 **2.1 Rationale**

85 Recent development of scientific technology has led to the development of personalized
86 medicine for treatment. The process for selecting appropriate therapeutic products, based on a
87 patient's characteristics has grown in importance. IVD-CDx provide information that is
88 essential for the safe and effective use of a therapeutic product, for example such information
89 can be based on the expression levels of genes, proteins, or the occurrence of any mutations.
90 Guidance is required on the process to demonstrate safety and performance of an IVD-CDx in
91 relation to the therapeutic product with which it is intended to be used.

92 **2.2 Purpose**

93 The purpose of this guidance is to define IVD-CDx and guide manufacturers,
94 Regulatory Authorities (RAs) and conformity Assessment Bodies (CABs) on requirements for
95 submission and conformity assessment for IVD-CDx performance.

96 **2.3 Scope**

97 This guidance applies to IVD medical devices which are intended to provide
98 information about certain patient characteristics in conjunction with the administration of a
99 targeted therapeutic product, in order to:

- 100 • Identify patients who are most likely to benefit from the therapeutic product;
- 101 • Identify patients likely to be at increased risk for adverse reactions as a result of
102 treatment with the therapeutic product;
- 103 • Monitor response to treatment with the therapeutic product for the purpose of
104 adjusting treatment (e.g., determination of the dosage, the timing of administration,
105 and discontinuation of the administration schedule, dose, discontinuation) to achieve
106 improved safety or effectiveness;
- 107 • Identify patients in the population for whom the therapeutic product has been
108 adequately studied, and found safe and effective, i.e., there is insufficient information
109 about the safety and effectiveness of the therapeutic product in any other population.

110 Note: The tests performed to determine donor-recipient matching (blood, blood composition,
111 cells, tissues, and organs) are not included. However, the Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA)
112 analysis method falls within the scope of the IVD-CDx when the HLA analysis method is
113 essential for the safe and effective use of therapeutic products rather than intended to determine
114 donor-recipient matching.

115

116

117 **3.0 Categories of IVD-CDx development**

118 **3.1 Novel IVD-CDx**

119 Two approaches can occur in the development of a new IVD-CDx:

- 120 • Contemporaneous development of a new therapeutic product and a new IVD-CDx;
- 121 • Development of a novel IVD-CDx used for previously approved therapeutic product.

122 **3.2 Follow-on IVD-CDx**

123 A follow-on companion diagnostic is a device using, either the same or different
124 technology, that is intended to be used with the therapeutic product in the same indication of
125 use and patient population, as in the labelling of the original companion diagnostic. However,
126 the development of a follow-on companion diagnostic device may not have a therapeutic
127 partner to conduct a new additional clinical trial, or the availability of the patient samples from
128 the original clinical trial is limited, where the original IVD-CDx was developed and the
129 therapeutic product were evaluated together.

130 **3.3 Changes and additions of the purpose of use on IVD-CDx**

131 a) Addition of types of samples for in vitro companion diagnostic devices that have
132 previously been approved (e.g., tissue sample → tissue sample or liquid sample)

133 b) Addition of new therapeutic products of which the response is predictable to an existing
134 (previously approved) in vitro companion diagnostic devices' intended use.

135 (e.g., Drug A → Drug A or Drug B)

136 c) As in vitro companion diagnostic devices that have previously been approved have
137 multiple drugs whose correlation with response in vitro companion diagnostic devices
138 can be established, they are integrated into one specific group name.

139 (e.g., Drug A, B, C, D → Drug Alphabet)

140

141 **4.0 References**

142 *AHWP/WG2/PF002:2016 Principles of Conformity Assessment for In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD)*
143 *Medical Devices*

144 *AHWP/WG2/PF003:2016 Submission Dossier for Demonstrating Conformity to the Essential*
145 *Principles of Safety and Performance of In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices*

146 *AHWP/WG1a/F002:2013 Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of IVD Medical*
147 *Devices*

- 148 US FDA Guidance for Industry: In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices 2014
- 149 Korea MFDS guideline “Guideline for Approval and Evaluation of In Vitro Companion
150 Diagnostic Devices” (2015/BI-2015-5-238, 2022/Guideline-1267)
- 151 CLSI Harmonized Terminology database: <http://htd.clsi.org/>
- 152 US FDA Guidance for Industry: Developing and Labeling in vitro companion diagnostic
153 devices for a specific group of oncology therapeutic products 2020
- 154 US FDA Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff (Draft): Principles for
155 Codevelopment of an In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Device with a Therapeutic Product (2016)
- 156 US FDA Guidance for Industry (Draft): Use of Circulating Tumor DNA for Early-Stage Solid
157 Tumor Drug Development (May 2022)

158

159 **5.0 Definitions**

160 **Medical Device** - The term is as defined in AHWP/WG2-WG1/F001:2016 “Definition of the
161 Terms ‘Medical Device’ and ‘In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Medical Device’

162

163 **Cut-off for an IVD-CDx:** The test value which determines the clinical decision for treatment,
164 i.e. subjects with test results above the cut-off value are eligible for treatment, whereas those
165 with test results below the cut-off value are not given the treatment.

166 Note 1: The clinical cut-off value is determined in the therapeutic product clinical trial.

167 Note 2: The role of the IVD-CDx is to determine the test value accurately.

168 [Ref. *US FDA Guidance, Principles for Codevelopment of an In Vitro Companion Diagnostic*
169 *Device with a Therapeutic Product (2016)*]

170

171 **In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Medical Device (IVD-CDx):** Means an In vitro diagnostic
172 medical device which provides information that is essential for the safe and effective use of a
173 corresponding therapeutic product to:

174 a) Identify, before and/or during treatment, patients who are most likely to benefit from
175 the corresponding therapeutic product;

176 b) Identify, before and/or during treatment, patients likely to be at increased risk of serious
177 adverse reactions as a result of treatment with the corresponding therapeutic product;

178 c) Monitor response to treatment with the therapeutic product for the purpose of adjusting
179 treatment (e.g., determination of the dosage, the timing of administration, and
180 discontinuation of the administration) to achieve improved safety or effectiveness; or

181 d) Identify patients in the population for whom the therapeutic product has been
182 adequately studied, and found safe and effective, i.e., there is insufficient information
183 about the safety and effectiveness of the therapeutic product in any other population.

184 Note 1: Except the products for compatibility evaluation for blood transfusion and transplant
185 purposes.

186 Note 2: In specific cases, IVD-CDx may be used for monitoring response or adjusting treatment,
187 but “therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM)” in general does not fall under the scope of
188 IVD-CDx.

189 [Ref. *US FDA Guidance, In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices (2014)*; *MFDS Guidance,*
190 *Guideline for Approval and Evaluation of In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices (2022)*]

191

192 **Negative Percent Agreement (NPA):** The percentage of agreement of the test method’s
193 ability to obtain negative results in concordance with negative results obtained by the
194 comparative method.

195 *–[Ref. CLSI Harmonized Terminology database]*

196

197 **Positive Percent Agreement (PPA):** The percentage of agreement of the test method’s ability
198 to obtain positive results in concordance with positive results obtained by the comparative
199 method.

200 *[Ref. CLSI Harmonized Terminology database]*

201

202 **Comparative method:** In a method evaluation experiment, a well-characterized method that
203 serves as the basis for assigning the true concentration of an analyte in a sample.

204 Note 1: The method(s) being used to validate the new automated system, also known as a
205 comparator method;

206 Note 2: The comparative method(s) may be a reference standard or a nonreference standard.

207 *[Ref. CLSI Harmonized Terminology database]*

208

209 **Reference method:** A methodology that has exact and clear descriptions of the necessary
210 conditions and procedures that provide sufficiently accurate and precise laboratory data for it
211 to be used to assess the validity of other test methods.

212 *[Ref. CLSI Harmonized Terminology database]*

213

214 **Specific group of therapeutic products:** The specific group refers to the indication that the
215 therapeutic products have in common which is captured in the therapeutic products' labelling
216 (including sections other than the indications and usage section).

217 Note: See section 7.2.3. b)-3) for additional information about integrating multiple therapeutic
218 product names into one specific group name in the labelling of IVD-CDx.

219 [Ref. *US FDA Guidance Developing and Labelling in vitro companion diagnostic devices for*
220 *a specific group of oncology therapeutic products (2020)*]

221

222 **Therapeutic product (as used in this guidance):** Includes therapeutic, preventive, and
223 prophylactic drugs and biological products.

224 [Ref. *US FDA Guidance, In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices (2014)*]

225

226 **Liquid biopsy:** Liquid biopsy is a technique used for the diagnosis and observation of diseases
227 such as cancer by analyzing liquid samples rather than analyzing solid biological tissues. The
228 biggest advantage of liquid biopsy is that it is a non-invasive sample collection method. Blood
229 and body fluids such as serum, plasma, exosomes, circulating tumor cells (CTC), cerebrospinal
230 fluid (CSF), urine, and saliva are used as samples.

231 [Ref. *MFDS Guidance, Guideline for Approval and Evaluation of In Vitro Companion*
232 *Diagnostic Devices (2022)*]

233

234 **Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA):** Circulating tumor DNA is tumor-derived fragmented
235 DNA shed into a patient's bloodstream that is not associated with cells.

236 [Ref. *US FDA Guidance for Industry (Draft): Use of Circulating Tumor DNA for Early-Stage*
237 *Solid Tumor Drug Development (2022)*]

238

239 **6.0 Conformity Assessment of IVD-CDx**

240 The IVD-CDx is a subset of IVD medical devices, which are developed and used in
241 conjunction with therapeutic products. The requirements for IVD-CDx follow the GHWP
242 general IVD medical device guidance, such as Essential Principles of Safety and Performance,
243 Conformity Assessment, Labelling and Submission Dossier. However, the characteristics and
244 application of IVD-CDx in conjunction with therapeutic products requires some specific
245 considerations to be taken into account with respect to the GHWP general guidance for IVD
246 medical device.

247 **7.0 Considerations to Essential Principles of Safety and Performance**

248 IVD-CDx manufacturers need to take into account several specific considerations
249 related to the Essential Principles specified in the GHWP document AHWP/WG1a/ F002:2013,
250 Essential Principles of Safety and Performance of IVD Medical Devices.

251 **7.1 General Considerations**

- 252 a) The intended use should include the trade name of the therapeutic product (including
253 its active ingredient) to be used with the IVD-CDx.
254
- 255 b) The parameter for measurement and detection shall be clarified according to the
256 following:
257
- 258 • The name of gene, protein, clone (in case of mAb) etc. targeted shall be
259 specified;
 - 260 • If the IVD-CDx is to be used for the diagnosis of a specific genotype, when
261 necessary, the corresponding genetic sequence/codon, mutation domain etc.
262 shall be included.
- 263
- 264 c) If multiple types of specimen are mentioned in the intended use, data for each specimen
265 type should be generated, in particular differences and potential limitations should be
266 described, e.g., tissue versus serum/plasma.
267
- 268 d) Relationship of the IVD-CDx to the therapeutic product:
269
- 270 • the clinical relationship of the use of the companion diagnosis for the
271 corresponding therapeutic product, such as therapeutic target identification,
272 efficacy, potential adverse reaction and dose adjustment, administration, shall
273 be considered;
 - 274 • the specific intended use of the corresponding therapeutic product should be
275 considered
- 276
- 277 e) It is desirable to use a risk-based approach for the approval and review of an IVD-
278 CDx. Accordingly, the review should be focused on the following matters.
279
- 280 • Purpose of use of an IVD-CDx;
 - 281 • Level of confidence in safety and effectiveness;
 - 282 • Level of risk associated with false positive and negative results of an IVD-
283 CDx
- 284 f) In the case of contemporaneous development of therapeutic product and IVD-CDx,
285 pharmaceutical companies and medical device manufacturers must prepare for clinical
286 trials by sharing information with each other and obtain approval for the protocol for
287 therapeutic product and IVD-CDx separately for each.
- 288 g) For simultaneous approval of therapeutic product and IVD-CDx both of which were
289 contemporaneously developed, IVD-CDx developers are guided to help them to match
290 the timing of the clinical development of therapeutic product and the submission date
291 of the application form. Therefore, pharmaceutical companies and IVD-CDx
292 manufacturers are encouraged to consult with relevant departments of authority in the
293 early development phase.

294

295 7.2 Specific considerations according to IVD-CDx Categories

296 7.2.1. For Novel IVD-CDx

297 a) The review of the clinical effectiveness of an IVD CDx determines if the response
298 to a therapeutic product is more favorable (statistically significant) in the patient
299 group screened by applying the IVD CDx than in the randomized patient group
300 (compliance with the license conditions, the safety, and efficacy of the therapeutic
301 product) and evaluate the clinical performance of the IVD CDx (sensitivity,
302 specificity, or equivalence to reference methods).

303

304 b) In the case of the recent simultaneous clinical evaluation on IVD-CDx and targeted
305 therapy, the clinical design in which patients who showed a positive result for IVD-
306 CDx are divided into a test group (targeted therapy) and a control group (placebo,
307 chemotherapy, etc.) and compared each other is common, but the clinical
308 evaluations on some of the second-line treatments are designed for evaluation in
309 the test group only without a control group.

310

311 c) In the case of IVD-CDx for which a tissue sample or liquid biopsy can be used, the
312 performance evaluation including drug reactivity of oncogenes in the tissue of
313 primary cancer and liquid biopsy should be performed in the same patient. In
314 addition, comparative analysis data with other test methods are reviewed to prove
315 the test results of circulating tumor DNA.

- 316 • In general, companion diagnostics on solid tumor using liquid biopsy shows
317 low sensitivity compared to tissue samples, so if there is no evidence to refute
318 it, it is reasonable to select tissue samples with priority. However, if the
319 collection of a tissue sample is rather a big risk to the patient, liquid biopsy
320 can be used for the test alternatively, but if the test result is negative, it is
321 reasonable to conduct the retest with a tissue sample (Avoid missing treatment
322 opportunities due to false negative result).

323

324 Note: When developing a new IVD-CDx that can be used with either tissue samples
325 or liquid biopsies, it is important to evaluate not only the concordance between
326 specimen types, but also the accuracy of predicting drug reactivity through liquid
327 biopsy testing. However, drug reactivity through liquid biopsy testing can be
328 confirmed retrospectively (when a patient is prescribed a drug based on the results
329 of a tissue sample, and the liquid biopsy collected before the drug is taken is tested
330 to confirm drug reactivity).

331

332 d) Review the analytical performance (sensitivity, specificity, accuracy,
333 reproducibility, linearity, etc.) of IVD-CDx. In addition, if multiple biomarker tests,
334 such as multiple sites of mutation, are required, analytical performances of each
335 biomarker should be ensured.

- 336 • Analytical performance should be assessed after the sampling method and
337 criteria (area of tissue, tumor ratio within the tissue, thickness of tissue, etc.)

338 have been validated, and validation data should be reviewed along with the
339 appropriateness of the criteria during acceptance and audit.

340
341 Note: Nucleic acid extraction kits are used to extract genes, including variants
342 associated with drug response, and the nucleic acid extraction kits must be notified
343 or approved by the authorities. Particularly for liquid biopsies (blood), the quality
344 of circulating tumor DNA has a significant impact on test results because the
345 amount of circulating tumor DNA is very low and nucleic acids are damaged while
346 circulating in the human bloodstream. Therefore, it is recommended that
347 quantitative and qualitative assessment of extracted nucleic acids be performed. It
348 is also recommended that internal quality controls to measure the amount of
349 amplifiable nucleic acids among the damaged nucleic acids be included in the IVD-
350 CDx being developed.

351 **7.2.2. For Follow-on product equivalent to the first approved IVD-CDx**

352 a) When developing a follow-on product that is equivalent to the previously approved
353 IVD-CDx with clinical evidence in conjunction with the therapeutic products, you
354 will need to evaluate its clinical performance through comparison study
355 (correlation and concordance between the original and the follow-on products).
356 However, drug response studies that were required for approval of the original
357 approved product are not required for approval of the follow-on product.

358
359 Note 1: It is preferable that the equivalence between the new and existing IVD-
360 CDx is assessed with the same specimens collected from subjects who participated
361 in a clinical trial of the relevant therapeutic product. However, if the same
362 specimens cannot be secured, the equivalence trials can be conducted separately by
363 using specimens collected and stored from a new subject group based on an
364 equivalent selection condition compared to the clinical trial.

365
366 Note 2: If there is a previously approved IVD-CDx using two types of samples (e.g.,
367 tissue or liquid biopsy) and comparison testing is performed using this as a control
368 IVD-CDx, only the degree of agreement between them (between the approved
369 IVD-CDx and follow-on product with each specimen type; between sample types
370 of test IVD-CDx) can be evaluated without the drug response studies.

371
372 b) Analytical performance (sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, reproducibility, linearity,
373 etc.) of follow-on IVD-CDx.

374
375 Note: It is important to note that IVD-CDx does not determine the presence or
376 absence of a specific biomarker (mutation), but rather predicts the presence or
377 absence of drug response, and the limit of detection (LOD) is not related to the
378 accuracy in predicting drug response (e.g., If a qPCR-based product with a clinical
379 evaluation of drug response prediction is first approved as an IVD-CDx, and a
380 subsequent droplet digital PCR-based product with a great limit of detection is
381 developed, it is not possible to say that the drug response prediction accuracy of the
382 subsequent product exceeds that of the first licensed product without an evaluation
383 of drug response prediction for that drug.). Therefore, it is necessary to confirm
384 that the cut-offs of the original approved IVD-CDx clinically evaluated

385 concurrently with the corresponding drug and the cut-offs of the follow-on product
386 are quantitatively equivalent.

387 **7.2.3. Addition of new specimen types or new drugs to the intended use**

388 a) Addition of new specimen type to the intended use
389

390 If you are changing an IVD-CDx that only uses tissue specimens to additionally use
391 liquid biopsies, you will need to evaluate the concordance of drug response
392 prediction between the same specimen types from same patient and review the
393 appropriateness of the concordance level. You will also need evidence to support its
394 qualification by comparing the performance of genetic testing on liquid biopsies to
395 other testing methods.
396

397 Note: If you add a specimen type to the intended use of an IVD-CDx using only
398 tissue specimens that also allows for the use of liquid biopsies, you should evaluate
399 the accuracy of predicting drug response beyond concordance between specimen
400 types. However, the accuracy of predicting drug response from liquid biopsies can
401 be evaluated retrospectively (i.e., subjects are selected for drug prescription based
402 on the results of the tissue specimen, and liquid biopsies collected prior to drug
403 administration are tested to confirm drug response).
404

405 b) Addition of a companion drug to the intended use
406

407 • Addition of new therapeutic product

408 If IVD-CDx, which can predict reactivity to a specific therapeutic product (A),
409 is approved, and the purpose of the use is expanded to predict the reactivity of
410 new drug (B) without any changing the IVD-CDx itself, the clinical
411 performance of IVD-CDx on a new drug (B) should be reviewed from the same
412 perspective as for the case where a new IVD-CDx is developed.
413

414 Note: These considerations apply to the addition of new disease into the
415 previously approved intended use of IVD-CDx.
416

417 • Addition of generic drug

418 In the case of adding a generic drug (A') for an original drug (A), if there is data
419 demonstrating its equivalence with existing drugs, the generic drug can be
420 added without a separate clinical performance evaluation in relation to the
421 prediction of drug response.
422

423 • Integration of names of multiple anticancer drugs into one special group name

424 If IVD-CDx can predict drug responses to multiple anticancer drugs, you can
425 consolidate multiple anticancer drug names into one representative group name
426 in the Intended Use field.
427

428 (1) If multiple drugs with predictable reactivity are listed as Drug A, Drug B,
429 Drug C, Drug D, Drug E, Drug F, etc. in the IVD-CDx's Intended Use, the

430 characteristic equivalence levels of the different anticancer drugs can be
431 considered collectively, and only drugs with higher equivalence levels can
432 be listed under one common group name.
433

*** Checklist of characteristics when unifying special group names**

Check mechanism of drug action, interactions (concentration of biomarkers or genomic volumes by concentration or variant type) between drugs and biomarkers (targets of drugs, proteins identified by IVD-CDx, or specific gene states), cut-off identity of IVD-CDx by each drug response prediction, and effects of biomarkers identified by IVD-CDx to cancer cells in the patient subjected to prescription.

434

435 (2) (Labelling for performance) Although names of multiple anticancer drugs
436 are consolidated into a specific single group name in the "Intended Use"
437 section, the drug response in patients identified by IVD-CDx is presented
438 for each anticancer drug in the "Performance" section. However, if the IVD-
439 CDx is approved without clinical trials for the drug, and it is intended to
440 change the specific single group name listed in the 'Intended Use' section to
441 be equivalent to the previously approved drug, the results of additional drug
442 response and correlation tests are not required, and the test results are not
443 described in the 'Performance' section.
444

445 (3) If a follow-on IVD-CDx is changed to use a special group name for an
446 Intended Use prior to the initially approved product, the descriptions of drug
447 response testing and correlation testing in the "Performance" section may
448 be omitted in Performance section, but the data for the above validation may
449 be required the same as for previously licensed IVD-CDx.
450

Considerations for integrating multiple anticancer drug names into a single special group name:

1. Can a single IVD-CDx (identifying the appropriate patient group for potential treatment) be described in grouping multiple anticancer drugs into a specific group?
2. Are sufficient studies on the mechanism of action of the specific group of anticancer drugs designed for use with the IVD-CDx, and interactions between biomarkers and drugs identified with IVD-CDx based on the variation in the molecular structure available?
3. Are there sufficient clinical experience with at least two anticancer drug treatments for indications with the same biomarker secured?
4. Has the analytical performance of the IVD-CDx been tested for each biomarker providing indication information?
5. Has the clinical performance of IVD-CDx been tested with the indicated treatment? (Clinical performance may be tested by

prospective or prospective/retrospective methods, but the cut-off level between anticancer-related drugs in the special group should be confirmed)

451

Example of integrating multiple anticancer drug names into a single special group name:

In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), EGFR exon 19 deletion mutation or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutation promotes phosphorylation of EGFR, leading to the promotion of cancer cell proliferation. Therefore, similar target anticancer drugs (afatinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, osimertinib, dacomitinib) that inhibit it can be collectively referred to as a single group name called tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI).

However, drugs that **only act on exon 20 (T790M) substitution mutations** due to TKI resistance cannot be included in the above TKI.

※ *Osimertinib (Tagrisso): At first approval, it was approved as a second-line treatment for patients with a history of TKI first-line therapy and cancer of exon 20 (T790M) substitution mutation, but it was expanded through further clinical study as first-line treatment for patients with exon 19 deletion mutations or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations.*

452

453 7.3 Detailed of the Performance Test

454 The safety, efficacy, and efficiency of therapeutic products requiring CDx are directly
455 affected by the performance of IVD-CDx.

456 7.3.1. IVD-CDx in a pharmaceutical trial

457 Since the clinical significance of IVD-CDx and the establishment and evaluation of
458 clinical cut-offs are performed using clinical trials of drugs conducted on patients identified by
459 IVD-CDx, IVD-CDx developers need to obtain information related to the drug's clinical trials
460 from pharmaceutical companies in advance and cooperate with them.

461 In the case of applying for IND approval of the protocol on the therapeutic product, if
462 the use of an unauthorized IVD-CDx is included in it for the purpose of CDx of the therapeutic
463 product, the IDE approval of the protocol on the clinical performance of IVD must be obtained
464 separately.

465 In addition, the clinical significance and cut-off values of the IVD-CDx should be
466 described for the premarket approval, based on the clinical performance of the associated
467 therapeutic product, including the name of the therapeutic product, test name, test methods,
468 and test results.

469 **7.3.2. A test on the evaluation of equivalence of IVD-CDx**

470 a) Evaluation of IVD-CDx equivalence

471 If an equivalent product is being developed, as there is a previously approved
472 product with an evaluation on clinical performance that was tested together with
473 the therapeutic product, it is possible to replace the evaluation on the prediction of
474 drug reactivity with the evaluation of the equivalence with the previously approved
475 product (clinical performance evaluation-correlation).

476 b) Precautions for equivalence tests of an IVD-CDx

477
478 Ideally, equivalence tests of an IVD-CDx should be carried out using the samples
479 collected from the subjects who participated in the clinical trials of a corresponding
480 therapeutic product, but the samples may not be available for various reasons. If
481 that is the case, leftover samples or newly collected samples from a different
482 subject group can be used for separate equivalence tests among IVD-CDx as long
483 as the sample and subject selection criteria used in the original clinical trials are
484 satisfied. The sample should be maintained in a similar manner to the previous trial,
485 and it is recommended that prior arrangements be made with the approval authority
486 to ensure that the sample are properly maintained with respect to timing of sample
487 collection, quality of lesions, and fixation and storage conditions.

488 c) The criteria for positive or negative agreements required to be considered
489 appropriate for IVD-CDx should be reviewed in consideration of the characteristics
490 of the disease, the number of patients (realistically verifiable cases), and the
491 confidence interval. The validity of such criteria should be referred to the authority.

492

493 **7.3.3. Considerations for analytic performance evaluation**

494 Analytic Performance evaluation of IVD-CDx may include the following:

495 a) Accuracy;

496 b) Precision;

497 c) Specificity;

498 d) Measuring range and linear line segments, such as quantitative range or detection
499 limits;

500 e) Analytical Cut-off;

501 f) Reference materials and in-house reference materials;

502 g) Information about the sample being collected, including sampling method,
503 processing method, and storage method;

504 h) Possibility and its inhibition of non-specific reactions such as reaction conditions
505 and analysis conditions;

506 i) Potential misjudgments due to contamination and measures to eliminate them.

507

508 Note: In addition, if you want to change the approval for the applied device and test method,
509 you must provide sufficient results of the verification data to ensure that the analytical method
510 can be measured/detected equally before and after the change through the verification of the
511 analytical method.

512

513 **7.3.4. Considerations for evaluating clinical performance**

514 a) Evidence that the prognosis was affected by the status of the biomarker

515 This can be used as an alternative to determine the effect of treatment on prognosis.
516 To obtain evidence, you need to compare the outcomes of treatment in patients
517 with and without a positive biomarker.

518 b) Evidence showing the impact of the proposed test on the health of patients

519 It should be checked whether patients were identified with the IVD-CDx test, were
520 randomized, and patients with similar health conditions were appropriately
521 assigned to the study treatment group and the usual care group. It is important to
522 obtain high-quality, direct evidence and determine statistical significance. To get
523 these, it is important to assess all of clinical safety, effectiveness, and efficiency.

524

525 **8.0 Labelling of IVD-CDx**

526 The labelling for IVD-CDx should include the following in addition to the labelling
527 requirements for the IVD medical devices as described in the GHWP document “*Label and*
528 *Instructions for Use for IVD Medical Devices*”.

529 An IVD-CDx that is intended for use with a therapeutic product must specify the
530 therapeutic product(s) for which it has been approved or cleared for use. In some cases, if
531 evidence is sufficient to conclude that the IVD-CDx is appropriate for use with a specific group
532 of therapeutic products, the intended use/indications for use should name the therapeutic
533 product group, rather than each specific product within the group.(refer the 7.2.3.c)

534

535 **9.0 Requirements of Submission Dossier of IVD-CDx**

536 A submission dossier for IVD-CDx should include, in addition to the requirements
537 specified in the GHWP *Submission Dossier Documents For Demonstrating Conformity To The*
538 *Essential Principles Of Safety And Performance Of In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices*,
539 evidence related to additional requirements for the EP for IVD-CDx as described in Section 7
540 of this guidance.

541

542 **10.0 Assessment of IVD-CDx Submission Dossier**

543 **10.1 IVD-CDx will be assessed in three different categories for regulatory approval**

- 544 a) Newly developed IVD-CDx;
- 545 b) Equivalent IVD-CDx;
- 546 c) Addition of new specimen types or new drugs to the intended use

547 **10.2 Novel IVD-CDx**

- 548 a) The efficacy of the therapeutic product related to the IVD-CDx should be reviewed by
549 the RA or CAB responsible for the therapeutic product approval.
550
- 551 b) The analytical performance characteristics of the IVD-CDx should be reviewed as
552 described as AHWP/WG2/PF002:2016, Principles of Conformity Assessment for In
553 Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Medical Devices, with special focus on the characteristics that
554 provide the selection criteria as demonstrated by the clinical study of the therapeutic
555 product. In addition, the specific aspects of analytical performance as described in
556 section 7 of this guidance should be reviewed. This part of the submission dossier will
557 be reviewed by the RA or CAB responsible for the IVD medical device approval.
558
- 559 c) The clinical performance of the IVD-CDx should be assessed by review of the
560 therapeutic product manufacturer's demonstration of the statistical significance of the
561 superiority of the response to the therapeutic product in the trial group screened by the
562 IVD-CDx compared with the randomized patient group in accordance with current
563 therapeutic product approval regulations. The specific aspects related to clinical
564 performance and clinical performance studies as described in section 7 should be
565 reviewed. This part of the submission dossier will be reviewed by the RA or CAB
566 responsible for IVD medical device and therapeutic product approval.
567

568 Note: If the therapeutic product and IVD-CDx are reviewed in parallel, it is important
569 to share related information between applicants to synchronize the regulatory pathway
570 and the approval timeline. Therefore, in such cases it is recommended that early phase
571 combined pre-submission discussions are held with the IVD medical device and
572 therapeutic product RAs.

573

574 **10.3 Follow-on IVD-CDx**

- 575 a) The analytical performance characteristics of the IVD-CDx should be reviewed as
576 described as AHWP/WG2/PF002:2016. Principles of Conformity Assessment for In
577 Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Medical Devices. In addition, the specific aspects of analytical
578 performance as described in section 7 of this guidance should be reviewed. This part

579 of the submission dossier will be reviewed by the RA or CAB responsible for the IVD
580 medical device approval.

581
582 b) The comparative clinical performance data for the concordance between the equivalent
583 product and the existing IVD-CDx should be reviewed. These performance data may
584 include the correlation analysis between them. The specific aspects related to clinical
585 performance and clinical performance studies as described in Section 7 should be
586 reviewed. This part of the submission dossier will be reviewed by the RA or CAB
587 responsible for the IVD medical device approval.

588

589 **10.4 Addition of new specimen types or new drugs to the intended use**

590 a) The analytical performance characteristics of the IVD-CDx should be reviewed as
591 described as AHWP/WG2/PF002:2016. Principles of Conformity Assessment for In
592 Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) Medical Devices. In addition, the specific aspects of analytical
593 performance as described in section 7 of this guidance should be reviewed. This part
594 of the submission dossier will be reviewed by the RA or CAB responsible for the IVD
595 medical device approval.

596
597 b) For addition of new specimen type, the comparative clinical performance data between
598 sample types obtained from same patients should be reviewed. For addition of new
599 therapeutic products, the clinical performance of the IVD-CDx with the new drug
600 should be reviewed from the same perspective as if a new IVD-CDx were being
601 developed. The specific aspects related to clinical performance and clinical
602 performance studies as described in Section 7 should be reviewed. This part of the
603 submission dossier will be reviewed by the RA or CAB responsible for the IVD
604 medical device approval.

605

606

607

608

609

610